

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,113 03/29/2004		Ming Li	MATG-393US	1523	
23122	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER		
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980			ELVE, MARIA	ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1725		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,113	LI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
M. Alexandra Elve	1725		

	IVI. Alexandra Eive	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmer tice of Appeal (with appeal fee se with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	at, affidavit, or other evide e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	'D 4 400(-) and the accession	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for repl r than three months after the maili	ount of the fee. The appropriate of the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	·		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a	brief, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materia	lly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		] will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	·	_ 	
		M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner	1725

Continuation of 13. Other: the examiner has reopened prosecution in light of applicant's comments.